

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/666,670		HARDING ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Teri P. Luu		3673	

All Participants:

(1) Teri P. Luu.

(2) James Cottone.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: 30: New case

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
8

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney agreed to amending claim 8 to recite that the life cradle and the safety cradle are separately inflatable.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

TERI PHAM LUU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)